Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/925,293	HORNUNG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jessica L. Rossi	1733
All Participants:	Status of Application: _	
(1) <u>Jessica L. Rossi</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Mr. Lipsitz</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 23 June 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appl Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	icant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	IERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summ 	The examiner will provide a write record of the substance of the	tten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview
		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	ant/Applicant's Representative S	Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Applicant agreed that while amended claim 1, filed on 3/30/04, claims an opening accessible from a first side thereof where the first and second panes are inserted through the opening, this language does not exclude inserting one of the panes into the opening from a second side, as taught by Tibble (see Figure 3; column 4, lines 21-52). Therefore, Applicant agreed to amend claim 1 to state that both the first and second panes are inserted into the opening from the first side (see examiner's amendment).